# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

MASSACHUSETTS EYE & EAR INFIRMARY,	) ) )
Plaintiff,	) Case No. 1:05-cv-11229-NMG
v.	)
EUGENE B. CASEY FOUNDATION and THOMAS F. REILLY, as he is the Attorney General of The Commonwealth of Massachusetts,	) ) ) )
Defendants.	) ) _)

### JOINT SCHEDULING CONFERENCE MEMORANDUM

Pursuant to Local Rule 16.1(d)(1), Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b), and the Notice of Scheduling Conference, the parties herein by their respective counsel submit this Joint Scheduling Conference Memorandum:

#### 1. Proposed Scheduling Order.

The parties propose that the following timelines be set with reference to the date of the Court's Order on the Eugene B. Casey Foundation's Motion to Dismiss:

Event	Date
Rule 26 disclosures	14 days from Order
Completion of Paper Discovery	104 days from Order (subject to enlargement to resolve any discovery disputes)

Drivent

Event Completion of Fact Discovery	Date 9 Months from Order
Service of Requests for Admissions	30 days from completion of fact discovery
Plaintiff's Disclosure of Expert Reports	30 days from completion of fact discovery
Responses to Requests for Admissions	60 days from completion of fact discovery
Defendant's Disclosure of Expert Reports	60 days from completion of fact discovery
Depositions of Expert Witnesses Completed	60 days from Defendant's Disclosure of Expert Reports
Summary Judgment Motions Filed	60 days from completion of expert depositions
Status conference/final pretrial	To be scheduled by court
Trial Date	To be assigned

## 2. Other Agenda Items.

None.

#### 3. Trial by Magistrate Judge.

At this time the parties do not agree to trial by a Magistrate Judge.

## 4. Certification by Counsel and Parties.

Pursuant to Local Rule 16.1(d)(3), by the signature of counsel for the parties below and signature of an authorized representative of each party on the attached Certificates, each party and that party's counsel confirm that they have conferred with a view to establishing a budget for the costs of conducting the full course - and various alternative courses - of the litigation and have conferred to consider the resolution of the litigation through the use of alternative dispute resolution programs such as those outlined in Local Rule 16.4.

#### 5. Consideration of Phased Discovery.

The parties have considered the desirability of conducting phased discovery and have concluded that it is not appropriate in this case.

EUGENE B. CASEY FOUNDATION By its attorneys,

MASSACHUSETTS EYE & EAR INFIRMARY By its attorneys,

Lynda Schwer Chysek M

Barbara S. Hamelburg (BBO #218670) Foley Hoag LLP 155 Seaport Boulevard Boston, MA 02210-2600 (617) 832-1000

Lynda Schuler Williams & Connolly LLP 725 Twelfth Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005-5901 (202) 434-5000 John C. Kane, Jr. (BBO #257480)
Ropes & Gray LLP
One International Place
Boston, MA 02110-2624
(617) 951-7000
John.Kane@ropesgray.com

THOMAS F. REILLY, as he is the Attorney General of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts By his attorneys,

Johanna Sons Chy Jox M)

Johanna Soris (BBO #473350) Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General One Ashburton Place Boston, MA 02108 (617) 727-2200

Dated: July 33, 2005

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this day a true copy of the above document was served upon the attorney of record for each party by mail/by hands

Date: 7/29/05

John C. Kare

## **CERTIFICATE**

I hereby certify, as an authorized representative of the plaintiff Massachusetts Eye and Ear Infirmary, that I have conferred with counsel with a view to establishing a budget for the costs of conducting the full course - and various alternative courses - of the litigation and have conferred to consider the resolution of the litigation through the use of alternative dispute resolution programs such as those outlined in Local Rule 16.4

> Barbara F. Katz General Counsel